

FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATION OF A PARABOLIC INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH A WEAKLY SINGULAR KERNEL

C. CHEN, V. THOMÉE, AND L. B. WAHLBIN

ABSTRACT. We give error estimates for the numerical solution by means of the Galerkin finite element method of an integro-differential equation of parabolic type with a memory term containing a weakly singular kernel. Optimal-order estimates are shown for spatially semidiscrete and completely discrete methods. Special attention is paid to the regularity of the exact solution.

1. INTRODUCTION

We shall consider the initial value problem (with $u_t = \partial u / \partial t$)

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t + Au &= \int_0^t K(t-s)Bu(s)ds + f(t) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \text{ for } t > 0, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ u(0) &= u_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where A is a linear positive selfadjoint elliptic and B a general partial differential operator of second order with smooth, time-independent coefficients, where K is a weakly singular kernel $K(t)$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad |K(t)| \leq Ct^{-\alpha} \quad \text{with } 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \text{ for } t > 0,$$

and where Ω is a sufficiently smooth domain in R^d , $d \geq 1$. Integro-differential equations of this nature appear in applications such as heat conduction in materials with memory, population dynamics, and visco-elasticity; cf., e.g., Friedman and Shinbrot [3], Heard [5], and Renardy, Hrusa, and Nohel [12]. For equations with nonsmooth kernels such as in (1.2), we refer to Grimmer and Pritchard [4], Lunardi and Sinestrari [10], and Lorenzi and Sinestrari [9] and references therein. Finite element methods for problems of the form (1.1) with a smooth kernel K have been discussed in, e.g., Sloan and Thomée [13], Yanik and Fairweather [15], Thomée and Zhang [14], LeRoux and Thomée [6], Cannon and Lin [1], and Lin, Thomée, and Wahlbin [7].

Received June 21, 1990.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 65M60, 65R20.

Key words and phrases. Parabolic, integro-differential equation, memory, Galerkin, finite element, weakly singular kernel.

The second author was supported by the National Science Foundation, USA.

The third author was supported by the Army Research Office through the Mathematical Sciences Institute, Cornell, and by the National Science Foundation, USA.

For the numerical solution we assume that we are given a family $\{S_h\}$ of finite-dimensional subspaces of $H_0^1 = H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \inf_{\chi \in S_h} \{\|v - \chi\| + h\|v - \chi\|_1\} \leq Ch^2\|v\|_2, \quad \forall v \in H^2 \cap H_0^1,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm in $L_2 = L_2(\Omega)$ and $\|\cdot\|_s$ that in $H^s = H^s(\Omega)$.

We consider first the semidiscrete problem of finding $u_h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow S_h$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (u_{h,t}, \chi) + A(u_h, \chi) &= \int_0^t K(t-s)B(u_h(s), \chi) ds + (f(t), \chi), \\ &\forall \chi \in S_h, \quad t > 0, \\ u_h(0) &= u_{0h}, \end{aligned}$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is the inner product in L_2 and $A(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ are the bilinear forms on H_0^1 associated with the differential operators A and B , and where u_{0h} is an appropriate approximation in S_h of the initial data in (1.1). We shall show that, for each $T > 0$, we then have the error estimate

$$(1.5) \quad \|u_h(t) - u(t)\| \leq C_T h^2 \left\{ \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|u_t\|_2 ds \right\} \quad \text{for } t \leq T.$$

We shall also consider the discretization in time of (1.4). Thus, let k be a time step, and let $U^n \in S_h$ be the approximation of the exact solution of (1.1) at time $t_n = nk$. The time discretization considered will be based on the backward difference quotient $\bar{\partial}_t U^n = (U^n - U^{n-1})/k$. The integral term then has to be evaluated by numerical quadrature from the values of the U^n , but since the integrand is singular, even when the solution is smooth, we shall use product integration: We shall approximate ϕ in $J_n(\phi) = \int_0^{t_n} K(t_n - s)\phi(s) ds$ by the piecewise constant function taking the value $\phi(t_j)$ in (t_j, t_{j+1}) , and thus use

$$J_n(\phi) \approx Q_n(\phi) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} K(t_n - s)\phi(t_j) ds = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \kappa_{n-j} \phi(t_j),$$

where

$$(1.6) \quad \kappa_j = \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} K(s) ds.$$

Our completely discrete scheme is therefore

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} (\bar{\partial}_t U^n, \chi) + A(U^n, \chi) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \kappa_{n-j} B(U^j, \chi) + (f(t_n), \chi), \quad \forall \chi \in S_h, \quad n \geq 1, \\ U^0 = u_{0h}. \end{aligned}$$

For this completely discrete method we shall show

$$(1.8) \quad \|U^n - u(t_n)\| \leq C_T (h^2 + k) \left\{ \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^{t_n} (\|u_{tt}\| + \|u_t\|_2) ds \right\} \quad \text{for } t \leq T.$$

Before we analyze these discrete methods, we shall discuss the existence and regularity of the solution of (1.1) and show, in particular, that the regularity

required for the estimates (1.5) and (1.8) are satisfied under appropriate assumptions on the data. In the case of a weakly singular kernel the regularity of the solution with respect to time is limited, which makes higher-order quadrature formulas less attractive, as well as quadratures based on the use of sparser sets of time levels, such as those treated in [13] and [6].

2. AN EXISTENCE AND REGULARITY RESULT

In this section we shall study the existence and regularity of the solutions of (1.1) and show, in particular, that the regularity required for the error estimates (1.5) and (1.8) holds under appropriate assumptions on the data of (1.1).

We shall need the following version of Gronwall's lemma.

Lemma 1. *Assume that y is a nonnegative function in $L_1(0, T)$ which satisfies*

$$(2.1) \quad y(t) \leq b(t) + \beta \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} y(s) ds \quad \text{for } 0 < t \leq T,$$

where $b(t) \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$. Then there is a constant C_T such that

$$y(t) \leq b(t) + C_T \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} b(s) ds \quad \text{for } t \leq T.$$

Proof. Let $K_1(s) = \beta s^{-\alpha}$ for $0 < s < T$, and let $K_1 * f$ denote the convolution

$$(K_1 * f)(t) = \int_0^t K_1(t-s)f(s) ds.$$

Recall that this is a bounded operator on $L_1(0, T)$. With K_i the kernel of the i times iterated convolution, we have

$$K_i(s) \leq C(i, \alpha) s^{i(1-\alpha)-1},$$

and we easily see that $K_i * b(t) \leq CK_1 * b(t)$ for $i \geq 2$. Hence, applying $K_1 *$ to (2.1) i times in succession, we obtain

$$y(t) \leq b(t) + C(K_1 * b)(t) + (K_i * y)(t).$$

For $i(1-\alpha) - 1 > 0$, we have

$$(K_i * y)(t) \leq C \int_0^t y(s) ds$$

and we can use the ordinary Gronwall lemma. Since

$$\int_0^t b(s) ds \leq C(K_1 * b)(t),$$

this concludes the proof. \square

We shall also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $K \in L_1(0, T)$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $C_\varepsilon = C_\varepsilon(\|K\|_{L_1(0, T)})$ such that*

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \int_0^T \int_0^t K(t-s)f(s)f(t) ds dt \right| \leq \varepsilon \int_0^T f(t)^2 dt + C_\varepsilon \int_0^T |K(T-t)| \int_0^t f(s)^2 ds dt.$$

Proof. In this proof, let (\cdot, \cdot) and $\|\cdot\|$ denote the inner product and norm in $L_2(0, T)$. We have, using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |(K * f)(t)|^2 &\leq \left(\int_0^t |K(s)|^{1/2} |K(s)|^{1/2} |f(t-s)| ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq \|K\|_{L_1(0, T)} \int_0^t |K(s)| f^2(t-s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, integrating with respect to t and changing the order of integration, and then changing variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \|K * f\|^2 &\leq \|K\|_{L_1(0, T)} \int_0^T |K(s)| \int_s^T f^2(t-s) dt ds \\ &= \|K\|_{L_1(0, T)} \int_0^T |K(T-\tau)| \int_0^\tau f^2(\sigma) d\sigma d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for the left-hand side of (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} |(K * f, f)| &\leq \|K * f\| \|f\| \leq \varepsilon \|f\|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \|K * f\|^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|f\|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \|K\|_{L_1(0, T)} \int_0^T |K(T-t)| \int_0^t f(s)^2 ds dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired inequality. \square

The following is our main existence and regularity result.

Theorem 1. *Assume that $u_0 \in H^\beta \cap H_0^1$, $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H^{\beta-2})$ and $t^\gamma f_t \in L_\infty(0, T; H^{\beta-2})$ with $\beta > 2$, $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then there exists a unique solution of (1.1) in $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; L_2)$. Furthermore, $u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H^2 \cap H_0^1)$, $u_t \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; L_2) \cap L_1(0, T; H^2 \cap H_0^1)$, and $u_{tt} \in L_1(0, T; L_2)$.*

Proof. We shall use the procedure of Faedo-Galerkin. Let $\{\phi_j\}_1^\infty$ be the eigenfunctions of A . We first seek $u^n \in \mathcal{S}_n = \text{span}[\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n]$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad u_t^n + Au^n &= \int_0^t K(t-s) P_n B u^n(s) ds + P_n f(t) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \text{ for } t \geq 0, \\ u^n &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u^n(0) &= P_n u_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Here, P_n denotes the L_2 projection into \mathcal{S}_n . By standard arguments, cf., e.g., Linz [8], this system of ordinary integro-differential equations has a solution $u^n \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]) \cap \mathcal{C}^2((0, T])$.

We shall next derive a priori estimates for u^n . We first show that, independently of n ,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad \left(\int_0^T \|u_t^n\|_2^p dt \right)^{1/p} + \left(\int_0^T \|u_{tt}^n\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} &\leq C_T M_{\beta, \gamma} \\ &\text{for some } p = p(\alpha) > 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_{\beta, \gamma} = M_{\beta, \gamma}(u_0, f) \equiv \|u_0\|_{\beta} + \|f(0)\|_{\beta-2} + \sup_{s \leq t} (s^2 \|f_t(s)\|_{\beta-2}).$$

Differentiating (2.3), we find that $v^n = u_t^n$ satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} v_t^n + Av^n &= K(t)P_nBP_nu_0 \\ &\quad + \int_0^t K(t-s)P_nBv^n(s)ds + P_nf_t(t) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ v^n &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ v^n(0) &= -AP_nu_0 + P_nf(0) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We now define $w^j = w^{n \cdot j}$, $j \geq 1$, inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} w_t^1 + Aw^1 &= K(t)P_nBP_nu_0 + P_nf_t(t) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ w^1 &= 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ w^1(0) &= -AP_nu_0 + P_nf(0), \end{aligned}$$

and then, for $j \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_t^j + Aw^j &= \int_0^t K(t-s)P_nBw^{j-1}(s)ds \equiv W^{j-1}(t) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ w^j &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ w^j(0) &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $z^j = v^n - \sum_{l=1}^j w^l$, we find for $j \geq 1$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} z_t^j + Az^j &= \int_0^t K(t-s)P_nB(z^j + w^j)(s)ds \\ &\equiv \int_0^t K(t-s)P_nBz^j(s)ds + g^j \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ z^j &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ z^j(0) &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We shall show below that, for any $j \geq 1$ and δ with $2 < \delta < \beta$, there is a constant $C_j = C_j(\alpha, \delta)$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad \|w^j(t)\|_{\delta} \leq C_j t^{-1+(\beta-\delta)/2+(j-1)(1-\alpha)} M_{\beta, \gamma}.$$

Assuming this for a moment, we conclude first that

$$(2.8) \quad \left(\int_0^T \|w^j\|_2^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq C_{j, T} M_{\beta, \gamma} \quad \text{for some } p > 1, \quad j \geq 1.$$

In order to bound z^j , we first note that by (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \|g^j\| &= \left\| \int_0^t K(t-s)P_n B w^j(s) ds \right\| \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} s^{-1+(j-1)(1-\alpha)} ds M_{\beta,\gamma} \leq C M_{\beta,\gamma} \quad \text{if } j(1-\alpha) \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We now multiply (2.6) by $2Az^j(t)$ and integrate to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{1/2}z^j(T)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^T \|Az^j\|^2 dt \\ \leq C \int_0^T \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|z^j(s)\|_2 \|z^j(t)\|_2 ds dt + C \int_0^T \|z^j\|_2 ds M_{\beta,\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using Lemma 2 with ε suitably chosen for the double integral, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the last term, we have

$$2 \int_0^T \|z^j\|_2^2 dt \leq C M_{\beta,\gamma}^2 + \int_0^T \|z^j\|_2^2 dt + C \int_0^T (T-t)^{-\alpha} \int_0^t \|z^j(s)\|_2^2 ds dt.$$

Moving the second term on the right over to the left and using Lemma 1, we conclude that

$$\int_0^T \|z^j\|_2^2 dt \leq C_T M_{\beta,\gamma}^2 \quad \text{for } j(1-\alpha) \geq 1.$$

In particular, the estimate for u_t^n in (2.4) follows from this and (2.8).

It remains to show (2.7). For this purpose we first recall that the semigroup $E(t)$ generated by $-A$ satisfies, for $\phi \in H^\mu$ with $\phi = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ if $\mu \geq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$(2.9) \quad \|E(t)\phi\|_\nu \leq C t^{-(\nu-\mu)/2} \|\phi\|_\mu, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq \nu, \quad \mu < 2.5.$$

(For $\mu \geq 2.5$, further boundary conditions have to be imposed on ϕ .) We have the representation

$$\begin{aligned} w^1 &= -E(t)AP_n u_0 + E(t)P_n f(0) + \int_0^t E(t-s)K(s)P_n B u_0 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t E(t-s)P_n f_t(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

so that for $\delta < \beta$ (which we may clearly assume less than 2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|w^1\|_\delta &\leq C t^{-1+(\beta-\delta)/2} (\|u_0\|_\beta + \|f(0)\|_{\beta-2}) \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1+(\beta-\delta)/2} s^{-\alpha} ds \|u_0\|_\beta \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1+(\beta-\delta)/2} s^{-\gamma} (s^\gamma \|f_t(s)\|_{\beta-2}) ds \\ &\leq C t^{-1+(\beta-\delta)/2} M_{\beta,\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

We now proceed with a proof of (2.7) by induction for $j \geq 2$ and assume the

result holds for $j - 1$. We note that then, for $2 < \delta < \beta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|W^{j-1}(t)\|_{\delta-2} &\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|w^{j-1}(s)\|_{\delta} ds \\ &\leq C_{j-1} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} s^{-1+(\beta-\delta)/2+(j-2)(1-\alpha)} ds M_{\beta,\gamma} \\ &\leq C_{j-1} t^{-1+(\beta-\delta)/2+(j-1)(1-\alpha)} M_{\beta,\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.9), if $\varepsilon < \delta < \beta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|w^j(t)\|_{\varepsilon} &= \left\| \int_0^t E(t-s)W^{j-1}(s) ds \right\|_{\varepsilon} \\ &\leq C_{j-1} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1+(\delta-\varepsilon)/2} s^{-1+(\beta-\delta)/2+(j-1)(1-\alpha)} ds M_{\beta,\gamma} \\ &\leq C_{j-1} t^{-1+(\beta-\varepsilon)/2+(j-1)(1-\alpha)} M_{\beta,\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (2.7), and thus of the estimate for the first term in (2.4). Clearly, we then also have $\|u^n(t)\|_2 \leq C$, and it follows easily from (2.5) that the bound for u_{tt}^n in (2.4) is satisfied, and hence also that

$$(2.10) \quad \|u^n(t)\|_2 + \|u_t^n(t)\| \leq C \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

We next proceed with a limiting argument. Writing (1.1) in weak form, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u_t^n, \phi_m) + A(u^n, \phi_m) &= \int_0^t K(t-s)B(u^n, \phi_m)ds + (f(t), \phi_m) \quad \text{for } m \leq n, \\ u^n(0) &= P_n u_0. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.10), a subsequence u^n converges weak* in $L_{\infty}(0, T; H^2)$, and we refer to that limit as u . By (2.4) we may also assume that a (further) subsequence u_t^n converges weakly in $L_p(0, T; H^2)$. Since u_t^n converges to u_t in the distribution sense, the weak limit is u_t also in $L_p(0, T; H^2)$. In particular, $u_t \in L_p(0, T; H^2)$. Similarly, by (2.4) again, $u_{tt}^n \rightharpoonup u_{tt}$ in $L_p(0, T; L_2)$. By (2.10) we may further assume that (u_t^n, ϕ_m) , $A(u^n, \phi_m)$, and $B(u^n, \phi_m)$ all converge weak* in $L_{\infty}(0, T)$, and the limits are (u_t, ϕ_m) , $A(u, \phi_m)$, and $B(u, \phi_m)$, respectively. Hence, for any $\psi \in L_1(0, T)$ and $m > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left[(u_t(t), \phi_m) + A(u(t), \phi_m) \right. \\ \left. - \int_0^t K(t-s)B(u(s), \phi_m) ds - (f(t), \phi_m) \right] \psi(t) dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since (u_t, ϕ_m) and (u_{tt}, ϕ_m) both belong to $L_1(0, T)$, we have that (u_t, ϕ_m) is actually continuous on $[0, T]$. One similarly sees that $A(u, \phi_m)$ and $B(u, \phi_m)$ are continuous. Hence, using the density of the ϕ_m , one obtains the weak form of (1.1). Since $u \in L_1(0, T; H^2 \cap H_0^1)$ and $u_t \in L_1(0, T; H^2 \cap H_0^1)$, we have actually $u \in \mathcal{E}([0, T]; H^2 \cap H_0^1)$. Similarly, $u_t \in \mathcal{E}([0, T]; L_2)$, and one concludes that (1.1) holds as an equation in $\mathcal{E}([0, T]; L_2)$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

To see that, in general, u_{tt} blows up as $t \rightarrow 0$, consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + Au &= \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} Au(s) ds \quad \text{in } \Omega, \text{ for } t > 0, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \text{ for } t > 0, \\ u(0) &= \phi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ is an eigenfunction of A corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . Setting $u(x, t) = \phi(x)y(t)$, we have for the scalar function y

$$\begin{aligned} y' + \lambda y &= \lambda \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} y(s) ds \quad \text{for } t > 0, \\ y(0) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$y''(t) = \lambda t^{-\alpha} - \lambda y'(t) + \lambda \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} y'(s) ds.$$

Since $y' \in \mathcal{C}([0, T])$, we conclude that, for this particular function, (cf. also Miller and Feldstein [11])

$$\|u_{tt}\| \sim \lambda t^{-\alpha} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

3. DISCRETIZATION IN SPACE

In this section we shall derive the error estimate (1.5) stated in the introduction for the semidiscrete method (1.4).

For the analysis we introduce, following [1], the Ritz-Volterra projection V_h defined for an appropriately smooth function u by

$$(3.1) \quad A((V_h u - u)(t), \chi) = \int_0^t K(t-s)B((V_h u - u)(s), \chi) ds, \quad \forall \chi \in S_h, \quad t \geq 0.$$

We have the following error estimate:

Lemma 3. *We have for the Ritz-Volterra projection*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(V_h u - u)(t)\| + h\|(V_h u - u)(t)\|_1 \\ &\leq Ch^2 \sup_{s \leq t} \|u(s)\|_2 \leq Ch^2 \left\{ \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|u_t\|_2 ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $W = V_h u$ and $\rho = W - u$. We begin with an H^1 estimate, and introduce also the standard Ritz projection R_h defined by

$$A(R_h u - u, \chi) = 0, \quad \forall \chi \in S_h.$$

We recall that (see Ciarlet [2, (18.3) and (19.13)]), under the assumption (1.3),

$$\|R_h u - u\| + h\|R_h u - u\|_1 \leq Ch^2 \|u\|_2.$$

We have, using the definition of W , that, with $c > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} c\|(W - R_h u)(t)\|_1^2 &\leq A(W - R_h u, W - R_h u) = A(\rho, W - R_h u)(t) \\ &= \int_0^t K(t-s)B(\rho(s), (W - R_h u)(t)) ds \\ &\leq C\|(W - R_h u)(t)\|_1 \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\rho(s)\|_1 ds \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|\rho(t)\|_1 \leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\rho(s)\|_1 ds + \|(R_h u - u)(t)\|_1.$$

Lemma 1 now implies

$$\|\rho(t)\|_1 \leq C_T \sup_{s \leq t} \|(R_h u - u)(s)\|_1 \leq C_T h \sup_{s \leq t} \|u(s)\|_2.$$

We next turn to the L_2 estimate, which will be derived by a duality argument, thus using

$$\|\rho(t)\| = \sup_{\|\phi\|=1} (\rho(t), \phi).$$

For each such ϕ , we let ψ be the solution of

$$A\psi = \phi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \psi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

and recall that

$$(3.2) \quad \|\psi\|_2 \leq C\|\phi\| = C.$$

Then, for $\chi \in S_h$,

$$(\rho(t), \phi) = A(\rho, \psi) = A(\rho, \psi - \chi) + A(\rho, \chi).$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} A(\rho, \chi) &= \int_0^t K(t-s)B(\rho(s), \chi) ds \\ &= \int_0^t K(t-s)B(\rho(s), \chi - \psi) ds + \int_0^t K(t-s)(\rho(s), B^* \psi) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, with $\chi = R_h \psi$, using (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho(t), \phi) &\leq C \sup_{s \leq t} \|\rho(s)\|_1 \|R_h \psi - \psi\|_1 + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\rho(s)\| ds \|\psi\|_2 \\ &\leq C \left\{ h^2 \sup_{s \leq t} \|u(s)\|_2 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\rho(s)\| ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\rho(t)\| \leq Ch^2 \sup_{s \leq t} \|u(s)\|_2 + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\rho(s)\| ds,$$

which by Lemma 1 completes the proof of Lemma 3. \square

We shall also need the following estimate for the time derivative of the error in the Ritz-Volterra projection.

Lemma 4. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3 we have, for $\rho = V_h u - u$,*

$$\int_0^t (\|\rho_t\| + h\|\rho_t\|_1) ds \leq Ch^2 \left\{ \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|u_t\|_2 ds \right\}.$$

Proof. Writing (3.1) in the form

$$A(\rho(t), \chi) = \int_0^t K(s)B(\rho(t-s), \chi) ds, \quad \forall \chi \in S_h,$$

we obtain by differentiation

$$(3.3) \quad A(\rho_t(t), \chi) = K(t)B(\rho(0), \chi) + \int_0^t K(s)B(\rho_t(t-s), \chi) ds.$$

We begin with the H^1 estimate. We have, for $W = V_h u$,

$$\begin{aligned} c\|W_t - R_h u_t\|_1^2 &\leq A(W_t - R_h u_t, W_t - R_h u_t) \\ &= A(\rho_t, W_t - R_h u_t) = K(t)B(\rho(0), W_t - R_h u_t) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t K(t-s)B(\rho_t(s), W_t - R_h u_t) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|(W_t - R_h u_t)(t)\|_1 \leq C t^{-\alpha} \|\rho(0)\|_1 + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\rho_t(s)\|_1 ds$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_t(t)\|_1 &\leq C t^{-\alpha} \|\rho(0)\|_1 + \|(R_h u_t - u_t)(t)\|_1 + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\rho_t(s)\|_1 ds \\ &\leq Ch \{t^{-\alpha} \|u_0\|_2 + \|u_t(t)\|_2\} + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\rho_t(s)\|_1 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 1,

$$\|\rho_t(t)\|_1 \leq Ch \left\{ t^{-\alpha} \|u_0\|_2 + \|u_t(t)\|_2 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|u_t(s)\|_2 ds \right\},$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|\rho_t\|_1 ds &\leq Ch \left\{ \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|u_t\|_2 ds + \int_0^t \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{-\alpha} \|u_t(\tau)\|_2 d\tau ds \right\} \\ &\leq Ch \left\{ \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|u_t\|_2 ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We now turn to the L_2 bound and write, with the notation of Lemma 3 and using (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_t(t), \phi) &= A(\rho_t(t), \psi) \\ &= A(\rho_t(t), \psi - \chi) + \int_0^t K(t-s)[B(\rho_t(t), \psi - \chi) + (\rho_t(s), B^* \psi)] ds \\ &\quad + K(t)[B(\rho(0), \psi - \chi) + (\rho(0), B^* \psi)]. \end{aligned}$$

With an appropriate choice of χ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_t(t)\| &\leq Ch \left\{ \|\rho_t(t)\|_1 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\rho_t(s)\|_1 ds \right\} + Ch^2 t^{-\alpha} \|u_0\|_2 \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\rho_t(s)\| ds, \end{aligned}$$

from which we conclude by Lemma 1 that

$$\|\rho_t(t)\| \leq Ch \left\{ \|\rho_t(t)\|_1 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\rho_t(s)\|_1 ds \right\} + Ch^2 t^{-\alpha} \|u_0\|_2.$$

After integration and using the H^1 estimate already derived we have

$$\int_0^t \|\rho_t\| ds \leq Ch \int_0^t \|\rho_t(s)\|_1 ds + Ch^2 \|u_0\|_2 \leq Ch^2 \left\{ \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|_2 ds \right\},$$

which thus completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2. Assume that u_{0h} is chosen so that

$$\|u_{0h} - u_0\| \leq Ch^2 \|u_0\|_2.$$

Then for each $T > 0$ there is a constant C_T such that for the solutions of (1.1) and (1.4)

$$\|u_h(t) - u(t)\| \leq C_T h^2 \left\{ \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^t \|u_t\|_2 ds \right\} \quad \text{for } t \leq T.$$

Proof. In a standard fashion we write

$$u_h - u = (u_h - V_h u) + (V_h u - u) = \theta + \rho.$$

Lemma 3 immediately gives the desired estimate for ρ , so it remains to bound θ .

We have directly from our definitions

$$(\theta_t, \chi) + A(\theta, \chi) = \int_0^t K(t-s)B(\theta(s), \chi) ds + (\rho_t, \chi), \quad \forall \chi \in S_h,$$

and hence, setting $\chi = \theta$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\theta\|^2 + A(\theta, \theta) \leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\theta(s)\|_1 \|\theta(t)\|_1 ds + \|\rho_t\| \|\theta\|.$$

By integration this yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\theta(T)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_1^2 dt \\ & \leq C \left\{ \|\theta(0)\|^2 + \int_0^T \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|\theta(s)\|_1 \|\theta(t)\|_1 ds dt + C \int_0^T \|\rho_t\| \|\theta\| dt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2 with a suitable choice of ε for the double integral, we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\theta(T)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_1^2 dt \\ & \leq C \left\{ \|\theta(0)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|\rho_t\| \|\theta\| dt + \int_0^T (T-t)^{-\alpha} \int_0^t \|\theta(s)\|_1^2 ds dt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1, therefore, we obtain the bound

$$\|\theta(T)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|\theta\|_1^2 dt \leq C_T \left\{ \|\theta(0)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|\rho_t\| \|\theta\| dt \right\},$$

whence, using also Lemma 4, and noting that $V_h(0) = R_h$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(T)\| & \leq C_T \left\{ \|\theta(0)\| + \int_0^T \|\rho_t\| dt \right\} \\ & \leq C_T \left\{ \|u_{0h} - R_h u_0\| + h^2 \left(\|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^T \|u_t\|_2 ds \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of our choice of u_{h0} this completes the proof of the desired estimate for θ , and thus of the theorem. \square

4. THE COMPLETELY DISCRETE SCHEME

In this section we shall consider the completely discrete method (1.7).

In the next lemma we estimate a time-discrete $L_1(0, T; L_2(\Omega))$ type norm of the quadrature error

$$\varepsilon_n(\phi) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \kappa_{n-j} \phi(t_j) - \int_0^{t_n} K(t_n - s) \phi(s) ds,$$

where κ_j is defined by (1.6).

Lemma 5. *For each $T > 0$ there is a constant C_T such that, if $\phi_t \in L_1(0, T; L_2)$, then*

$$k \sum_{n=1}^N \|\varepsilon_n(\phi)\| \leq C_T k \int_0^{t_N} \|\phi_t(s)\| ds \quad \text{for } Nk \leq T.$$

Proof. By the definition of the κ_j we have

$$\varepsilon_n(\phi) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} K(t_n - s) (\phi(t_j) - \phi(s)) ds,$$

so that by (1.2), for each $x \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon_n(\phi)| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} |K(t_n - s)| \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} |\phi_t(\sigma)| d\sigma ds \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu_{\alpha, n-j} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} |\phi_t(\sigma)| d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.1) \quad \mu_{\alpha, j} = \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} s^{-\alpha} ds = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} (t_j^{1-\alpha} - t_{j-1}^{1-\alpha}).$$

By integration in x and use of Minkowski's inequality this yields

$$\|\varepsilon_n(\phi)\| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu_{\alpha, n-j} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|\phi_t\| ds.$$

Hence, by interchanging the orders of summation we find

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \|\varepsilon_n(\phi)\| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n=j+1}^N \mu_{\alpha, n-j} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|\phi_t\| ds \leq C_T \int_0^{t_N} \|\phi_t\| ds,$$

since

$$\sum_{n=j+1}^N \mu_{\alpha, n-j} = \int_0^{t_{N-j}} s^{-\alpha} ds \leq C_T = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} T^{1-\alpha}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

The following two lemmas are discrete analogues of Lemmas 1 and 2, and are proved similarly to these.

Lemma 6. Let $\mu_{\alpha, j}$ be defined by (4.1) and assume that $y_n \geq 0$ and satisfies

$$y_n \leq b_n + \beta \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu_{\alpha, n-j} y_j \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

where $b_n \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$. Then for each $T > 0$ there is a constant C_T such that

$$y_n \leq b_n + C_T \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu_{\alpha, n-j} b_j \quad \text{for } nk \leq T.$$

Lemma 7. Let $K \in L_1(0, T)$, and let κ_j be defined by (1.6). Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $C_\varepsilon = C_\varepsilon(\|K\|_{L_1(0, T)})$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \kappa_{n-j} f_j f_n \right| \leq \varepsilon \sum_{n=1}^N f_n^2 + C_\varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\kappa_{N-n}| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j^2.$$

The following error estimate is our main result of this section. Its proof will require the inverse estimate

$$(4.2) \quad \|\chi\|_1 \leq Ch^{-1} \|\chi\|, \quad \forall \chi \in S_h.$$

Theorem 3. Assume that S_h satisfies (4.2) and that u_{0h} is chosen so that

$$(4.3) \quad \|u_{0h} - u_0\| \leq h^2 \|u_0\|_2.$$

Then for each $T > 0$ there is a constant C_T such that for the solutions of (1.7) and (1.1)

$$\|U^n - u(t_n)\| \leq C_T(h^2 + k) \left\{ \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^{t_n} (\|u_{tt}\| + \|u_t\|_2) ds \right\} \quad \text{for } t \leq T.$$

Proof. With V_h the Ritz-Volterra projection introduced in (3.1), we write

$$U^n - u(t_n) = (U^n - V_h u(t_n)) + (V_h u(t_n) - u(t_n)) = \theta^n + \rho^n.$$

The term ρ^n is estimated as desired by Lemma 3. For θ^n we have by our definitions

$$(4.4) \quad (\bar{\partial}_t \theta^n, \chi) + A(\theta^n, \chi) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \kappa_{n-j} B(\theta^j, \chi) + (\tau_n, \chi),$$

where

$$(\tau_n, \chi) = (u_t^n - \bar{\partial}_t V_h u^n, \chi) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \kappa_{n-j} B(V_h u^j, \chi) - \int_0^{t_n} K(t_n - s) B(V_h u(s), \chi) ds.$$

Defining $B_h: H_0^1 \rightarrow S_h$ by

$$(B_h \phi, \chi) = B(\phi, \chi), \quad \forall \chi \in S_h,$$

we may write

$$\tau_n = u_t^n - \bar{\partial}_t V_h u^n + \varepsilon_n (B_h V_h u).$$

We shall show by an energy argument that

$$(4.5) \quad \|\theta^N\| \leq C_T \left(\|\theta^0\| + k \sum_{n=1}^N \|\tau_n\| \right) \quad \text{for } Nk \leq T.$$

Assuming this for a moment, we then write $\tau_n = \sum_{l=1}^4 \tau_n^l$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_n^1 &= u_t^n - \bar{\partial}_t u^n, \\ \tau_n^2 &= \bar{\partial}_t(u^n - V_h u^n) = -\bar{\partial}_t \rho^n, \\ \tau_n^3 &= \varepsilon_n(B_h u), \\ \tau_n^4 &= \varepsilon_n(B_h \rho).\end{aligned}$$

We have at once

$$k \sum_{n=1}^N \|\tau_n^1\| \leq Ck \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \|u_{tt}\| ds = Ck \int_0^{t_N} \|u_{tt}\| ds,$$

and, by Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned}k \sum_{n=1}^N \|\tau_n^2\| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \|\rho_t\| ds = \int_0^{t_N} \|\rho_t\| ds \\ &\leq Ch^2 \left\{ \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^{t_N} \|u_t\|_2 ds \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

To estimate τ_n^3 , we note that when u is smooth, $B_h u = P_h B u$ and hence, by Lemma 5,

$$k \sum_{n=1}^N \|\tau_n^3\| \leq Ck \int_0^{t_N} \|P_h B u_t\| ds \leq Ck \int_0^{t_N} \|u_t\|_2 ds.$$

Using the inverse assumption (4.2), we have

$$(B_h \rho, \chi) = B(\rho, \chi) \leq C\|\rho\|_1 \|\chi\|_1 \leq Ch^{-1} \|\rho\|_1 \|\chi\|,$$

so that

$$\|B_h \rho\| \leq Ch^{-1} \|\rho\|_1.$$

Hence, for τ_n^4 , we have by Lemmas 5 and 4,

$$\begin{aligned}k \sum_{n=1}^N \|\tau_n^4\| &\leq C_T k \int_0^{t_N} \|B_h \rho_t\| ds \\ &\leq C_T k h^{-1} \int_0^{t_N} \|\rho_t\|_1 ds \leq C_T k \left\{ \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^{t_N} \|u_t\|_2 ds \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Inserted into (4.5), these estimates show

$$\|\theta^N\| \leq C_T \|u_{0h} - R_h u_0\| + C_T (h^2 + k) \left\{ \|u_0\|_2 + \int_0^{t_N} (\|u_{tt}\| + \|u_t\|_2) ds \right\}.$$

In view of (4.3) this completes the proof.

It remains to show (4.5). For this we choose $\chi = \theta^n$ in (4.4), which yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial}_t \|\theta^n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} k \|\bar{\partial}_t \theta^n\|^2 + A(\theta^n, \theta^n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \kappa_{n-j} B(\theta^j, \theta^n) + (\tau_n, \theta^n),$$

whence

$$\bar{\partial}_t \|\theta^n\|^2 + \|\theta^n\|_1^2 \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu_{\alpha, n-j} \|\theta^j\|_1 \|\theta^n\|_1 + C \|\tau_n\| \|\theta^n\|,$$

and, after summation,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta^N\|^2 + k \sum_{n=1}^N \|\theta^n\|_1^2 &\leq \|\theta^0\|^2 + Ck \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu_{\alpha, n-j} \|\theta^j\|_1 \|\theta^n\|_1 \\ &\quad + Ck \sum_{n=1}^N \|\tau_n\| \|\theta^n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 7 with $K(t) = Ct^{-\alpha}$, we may conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta^N\|^2 + k \sum_{n=1}^N \|\theta^n\|_1^2 &\leq \|\theta^0\|^2 + Ck \sum_{n=1}^N \|\tau_n\| \|\theta^n\| \\ &\quad + C \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mu_{\alpha, N-n} \left(k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|\theta^j\|_1^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

In combination with Lemma 6, applied to $y_N = k \sum_{n=1}^N \|\theta^j\|_1^2$, this shows

$$\|\theta^N\|^2 \leq C_T \left(\|\theta^0\|^2 + k \sum_{n=1}^N \|\tau_n\| \|\theta^n\| \right) \quad \text{for } Nk \leq T,$$

from which (4.5) follows.

This completes the proof. \square

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. R. Cannon and Y. Lin, *A priori L^2 error estimates for Galerkin method for nonlinear parabolic integro-differential equations.*, Manuscript, 1987.
2. P. G. Ciarlet, *Basic error estimates for elliptic problems*, Handbook of Numerical Analysis (P. G. Ciarlet and J. L. Lions, eds.), vol. II, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991, pp. 19–351.
3. A. Friedman and M. Shinbrot, *Volterra integral equations in Banach space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1967), 131–179.
4. R. C. Grimmer and A. J. Pritchard, *Analytic resolvent operators for integral equations in Banach space*, J. Differential Equations **50** (1983), 234–259.
5. M. L. Heard, *An abstract parabolic Volterra integrodifferential equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **13** (1982), 81–105.
6. M.-N. LeRoux and V. Thomée, *Numerical solution of semilinear integro-differential equations of parabolic type with nonsmooth data*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **26** (1989), 1291–1309.
7. Y. Lin, V. Thomée, and L. Wahlbin, *Ritz-Volterra projections to finite element spaces and applications to integro-differential and related equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **28** (1991), 1047–1070.
8. P. Linz, *Analytic and numerical methods for Volterra equations*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1985.
9. A. Lorenzi and E. Sinestrari, *An inverse problem in the theory of materials with memory*, Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl. **12** (1988), 1317–1335.
10. A. Lunardi and E. Sinestrari, *C^∞ -regularity of non-autonomous linear integro-differential equations of parabolic type*, J. Differential Equations **63** (1986), 88–116.
11. R. K. Miller and A. Feldstein, *Smoothness of solutions of Volterra integral equations with weakly singular kernels*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **2** (1971), 242–258.
12. M. Renardy, W. J. Hrusa, and J. A. Nohel, *Mathematical Problems in Viscoelasticity*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 35, Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, Essex, 1987.

13. I. H. Sloan and V. Thomée, *Time discretization of an integro-differential equation of parabolic type*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **23** (1986), 1052–1061.
14. V. Thomée and N.-Y. Zhang, *Error estimates for semidiscrete finite element methods for parabolic integro-differential equations*, Math. Comp. **53** (1989), 121–139.
15. E. G. Yanik and G. Fairweather, *Finite element methods for parabolic and hyperbolic partial integro-differential equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **12** (1988), 785–809.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, XIANGTAN UNIVERSITY, XIANGTAN, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, S-41262 GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN

E-mail address: thomee@math.chalmers.se

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NEW YORK 14853

E-mail address: wahlbin@mssun7.msi.cornell.edu